Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/728,153	NOMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jill A. Warden	1743
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Jill A. Warden</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeff</u> . Stone	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2004	Time: morning	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Proposed obviousness-type double patenting rejection.		
Claims discussed: 1-5, 16 and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6,203,850		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Warden explained that prosecution would be re-openend and the wick claims would be rejected under the judicially-created obviousness-type double patenting statute as they are obvious over the claims of US 6,203,850. Applicants' representative elected to file a terminal disclaimer today in order to obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection so the examiner could allow the claims and pass the application to issue.